

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 12-61735-CIV-ZLOCH

BROWARD BULLDOG, INC.,
and DAN CHRISTENSEN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

O R D E R

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, and
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,

Defendants,

HALIFAX MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC,
d/b/a The Sarasota Herald Tribune,

Amicus Curiae,

MIAMI HERALD MEDIA COMPANY,
d/b/a The Miami Herald,

Amicus Curiae.

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon Defendants' Motion For Enlargement Of Time To Make In Camera Submission, And For Partial Reconsideration (DE 61). The Court has carefully reviewed said Motion, the entire court file and is otherwise fully advised in the premises.

Accordingly, after due consideration, it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Defendants' Motion For Enlargement Of Time To Make In Camera Submission, And For Partial Reconsideration (DE 61) be and the same is hereby **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part as follows:

1. In so far as Defendants seek additional time to make their in camera submission, the instant Motion (DE 61) be and the same is hereby **GRANTED**. Defendants shall have until on or before noon on

Friday, April 25, 2014, to produce the photocopies of the documents from file number 265D-NY-280350-TP, in addition to photocopies of the other production required by paragraph 3 of the Court's prior Order (DE 60, ¶ 3);

2. In so far as Defendants seek to make their in camera submission in an electronic format in lieu of physical production, the instant Motion (DE 61) be and the same is hereby **DENIED**. The Court requires both. The hard copies set forth in paragraph 1 are to be produced on or before noon on Friday, April 25, 2014. In addition, Defendants shall submit the digitized versions in searchable format, as described by Defendants' instant Motion (DE 61, p. 2) on or before noon on Friday, May 2, 2014;

3. In so far as Defendants seek to conduct the manual search required by paragraph 4 of the Court's prior Order (DE 60, ¶ 4) using only the optical character reader (OCR) search capability, the instant Motion (DE 61) be and the same is hereby **DENIED**. Defendants may employ the OCR search capability, but not as a substitute for the manual review ordered by the Court; and

4. In so far as Defendants seek reconsideration of the Court's prior Order (DE 60) directing additional text searches, the instant Motion (DE 61) be and the same is hereby **DENIED**. In this regard, the Court's prior Order (DE 60) remains the same.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida, this 18th day of April, 2014.


WILLIAM J. ZLOCH
United States District Judge

Copies Furnished:

All Counsel of Record